

ii) Teleconfererencing

Contd...2

20

PART - B (Case Study) Compulsory

9. Arup has been lucky to have been appointed as the senior manager of a firm which was known for its great networking and PR skills. Arup having passed out of a reputed university, though good at work, had the audacity which comes with power and position at a young age.

The organization which believed more in scientific approach rather than humanistic approach did well by ignoring the flip side of Arup. The organization has been yielding good revenues and great reputation. Various small organizations, investors, vendors etc., looked forward to be associated with organizations.

One such meeting with the investors required Arup to make a presentation and then sit down for business deals and negotiations. The presentation was drab and uninspiring though it had all information required by the audience. The proposal was ambigious.

Over looking the dryness of the presentation the investors sat down for negotiation. However after certain time they showed their displeasure when Arup was on the phone intermittently. He also shared his excitement when India scored runs in the ongoing cricket match.

The investors wrote to the higher ups their dissapointment at the negotiator, the company had sent to discuss investment with them.

Questions:

- a. What according to you was right and wrong with Arup?
- b. How according to you could the presentation be made interesting?
- c. What are the negotiating etiquettes Arup should have followed during the negotiation?
- d. What are the communication skills and etiquettes, a senior manager should have, according to you?

* * * *